

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/682,422	Applicant(s) DIENNO ET AL.	
	Examiner James O. Hansen	Art Unit 3637	

All Participants:

(1) James O. Hansen.

(2) Mr. Eric Krischke.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Pending prior art rejection concerning claims 7 & 9

Claims discussed:

1, 3-7 & 9-21

Prior art documents discussed:

Sulcek, Vogler and Michael et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: In condition for allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~

/James O. Hansen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to expedite the prosecution of the application process [compact prosecution], the examiner contacted applicant's representative and proposed amendments to the claims [basically, amending claim 1 in order to overcome a pending 112(2) clarity issue due in part from the last amendments to the claim, while canceling claims 7 & 9 in order to obviate the pending anticipatory prior art rejection in view of Michael previously made of record, and canceling the remaining non-elected claims not dependent upon allowable generic claim 1] so as to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes as outlined in the attached examiners amendment .